

Review Article

Solid Garbage Treatment: Issues and Challenges

Motcha Rakkini*

Center for Environmental Research & Development, India

Abstract

The escalating volume of solid waste due to rapid urbanization, population growth, and industrialization poses significant environmental and public health challenges. Improper disposal of solid waste, especially organic and municipal garbage, leads to pollution of soil, air, and water, causing a surge in health risks and ecosystem degradation. Traditional waste management practices are often inefficient, expensive, and time-consuming. Composting and vermicomposting have emerged as eco-friendly, cost-effective, and sustainable alternatives for organic waste management. Vermicomposting, which employs earthworms to decompose organic waste into nutrient-rich compost, has shown potential in improving soil fertility, reducing pathogenic microbes, and recycling essential nutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Various organic wastes such as agricultural residues, municipal waste, animal dung, and market garbage have been effectively treated through vermicomposting using species like *Eisenia fetida* and *Eudrilus eugeniae*. This review emphasizes the technological, environmental, and agricultural benefits of vermicomposting in transforming biodegradable solid waste into valuable compost, promoting sustainable waste management practices, and enhancing agricultural productivity.

Introduction

Solid garbage treatment: Issues and challenges

Modern eras have plagued the global population with new problems due to industrialization and simultaneous population explosion with their ever increasing needs and expectations. Pollution has become the main concern and challenge to the mankind [1]. The call of pollution is caught from all junctions of the world, which is the major threat in our life [2]. The habitations of both human and animals generate huge load of organic wastes and their decomposition products that affect the quality of soil, air and water detrimentally. The term pollution is derived from the Latin term pollutionem, is the sense to defile or make dirty [3]. Pollution causes adverse changes in physical, chemical, and biological features of air, land and water, which affects human life, living circumstances and cultural resources quite exponentially mainly due to the rapid industrial development. Though the contamination by natural procedures is known, the man made pollution poses a real danger to the mankind [4].

Waste treatment is a much demanding issue in all countries, especially in developed nations. Domestic litter from municipal areas, deprived of correct planning, leads to a major source

of pollution [5]. Organic wastes, which are manufactured in large amounts all over the world, create major environmental and disposal problems. These materials result in unpleasant odor, and utilization of huge amounts of productive or fertile land for discharging these wastes are often a basis of pollution of ground waste [6]. The waste constituents of road side trash originates from households as well as other industrial utilities are not well defined due to their heterogeneous nature of constituents and these materials are often having varying physical, chemical and biological properties. Besides, the unpredictable loads of these solid wastes exceed the capacity that the corporation can maintain or safely process [7]. The waste accumulation has amplified concurrently with the rapid growth in residential colonies, fast food outlets, vegetable merchants, fruit yards and other customer outlets in respective areas. Garbage is also dumped in huge plastic bags that obstruct the traffic and they pose persistent problems due to their non degradative nature and lack of technologies to convert them in to environmental friendly safe products [8]. Says that the amount of large solid litter has been slowly growing and its management and removal is a main social and environmental problem as well as a major challenge. Much of the bio-solid wastes are highly infectious as they contain an array of pathogenic microorganisms. Their disposal into the

More Information

*Address for correspondences:

Motcha Rakkini, Center for Environmental Research & Development, India, Email: ponniantony23@gmail.com

Submitted: June 27, 2025

Approved: July 15, 2025

Published: July 16, 2025

How to cite this article: Rakkini M. Solid Garbage Treatment: Issues and Challenges. Ann Civil Environ Eng. 2025; 9(1): 033-044. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.29328/journal.acee.1001077>

Copyright license: © 2025 Rakkini M. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Keywords: Solid waste management; Vermicomposting; Organic waste; Municipal solid waste; Biodegradable waste; Environmental pollution



environment without prior disinfection causes health and environmental risks.

In light of growing concerns over environmental degradation and unsustainable waste disposal methods, there is an urgent need to develop effective, scalable, and environmentally-friendly strategies. This review aims to assess the current practices in vermicomposting and solid waste management and to explore its potential to alleviate the challenges faced by urban and rural populations. Additionally, integrating innovative, low-cost biological approaches with policy support can help improve adoption in both household and industrial contexts. By compiling research insights and case studies, this article intends to provide a comprehensive resource for academics, policymakers, and practitioners in the waste management sector.

Aim of the study

The primary objective of this review is to explore the challenges associated with solid garbage treatment and evaluate the role of vermicomposting as a sustainable solution for organic waste management. The study aims to consolidate research findings and provide a comprehensive understanding of how vermicomposting contributes to environmental sustainability, waste reduction, and soil enrichment.

Composting

The management of bio solid wastes is gaining importance for not only providing clean and healthy environment but also enhancing the primary productivity through soil quality improvement. Composting is the widely accepted process for the recycling of organic wastes, avoiding the drawbacks associated to the direct land application of raw wastes or poorly stabilized materials, toxicity and pathogenicity [9]. Composting is coined from the Latin word 'compositum' meaning mixture. It is defined as the biodegradable process carried out over a mixture of substrates by the microbial community under aerobic conditions in the solid state. Composting facilitates the biological transformation of the organic matter into a well-stabilized product through the fast succession of microbial populations under aerobic conditions. The process results in mineralization of organic matter to carbon dioxide as well as transformation of organic matter into humic substances, an indicator of soil fertility [10].

The exothermic process generates energy in the form of heat, resulting in an increase of the temperature in the mass. A spontaneous process, therefore, is passing through a thermophilic phase, preceded and followed by two mesophilic phases. During the process of composting, there is an initial release of phytotoxins, intermediary metabolites, ammonia, etc. At the end of the process, this phytotoxicity is completely overcome by the metabolism of toxic constituents by organisms present in the composts and the final product is beneficial to plant growth. The composting process leads to

the final production of carbon dioxide, water, minerals, and stabilized organic matter (compost). The process starts with the oxidation of easily degradable organic matter and this initial phase is called decomposition. The next phase is called stabilization that includes both the mineralization of slowly degradable molecules and more complex processes such as the humification of ligno-cellulosic compounds.

Substrates for composting

The common biochemical catabolic activities of microbes of composting utilizes the following biogenic substrates of plant, animal, or microbial origins and they originate from biological activities such as photosynthesis, consumer biomass etc. Usually, plant materials such as lignin, cellulose, and hemicelluloses (xylan, pectin and starch) etc make up the highest amounts, while animal tissues (glycogen and collagen) or microbial components (murein and chitin) are only minor fractions of any mixture, but usually are the most nutrient-rich fractions [11]. Among these mixtures, certain constituents such as lignin and collagen are highly resistant to degradation and may take longer duration for composting than the materials rich in other substances [12,13] and the collagen degradation becomes time consuming process if the fibrous protein cross links with humic substances [12].

Importance of vermicomposting

Various physical, chemical and microbiological methods of disposal of organic or bio solid wastes are currently in use. However, these methods are not only uneconomical but also time consuming. Therefore, there is a necessity to find out cost-effective alternative method of shorter duration. In this regard, vermicomposting has been reported to be a viable, cost-effective and rapid technique for the efficient management of the organic solid wastes [14]. Vermicomposting is a biotechnological procedure, wherein earthworms are utilized to transform the organic litters into humus like substance known as vermicompost. Certain earthworm types are able to consume wide ranging diversity of organic litters from sewage sludge, animal trashes, and agricultural remains, domestic and industrial wastes that widely vary with waste constituents. Under the optimal conducive composting conditions of heat and moisture, earthworms maintain an aerobic environment and ingest organic waste constituents and egest a humus-like material that is more homogeneous than organic trashes or raw materials utilized [15]. During the transit of material through worms' gut, some important plant metabolites like NPK present in the organic waste are converted into such chemical forms bioavailable to plants. The activities of earthworms in this procedure are physical and biochemical. Physical activities comprise fragmentation, turnover and aeration. Biochemical activities comprise of enzymatic digestion, nitrogen enrichment, and carriage of inorganic and organic resources [16]. In this procedure, significant proportion of plant nutrients such as nitrogen,

potassium, phosphorus and calcium exist in insoluble forms in waste constituents which are transformed by microbial activities into soluble chemicals that can be more readily utilized by plants as nutrients than those in the parental substrate forms [17].

Vermicomposting differs from conventional composting because the organic material is processed by the digestive systems of earthworms. The egested casts can be used to improve the fertility and physical characteristics of soil and potting media. In this process, the earthworms actively participate in the degradation of organic matter through physical and biochemical means. Physical participation in degrading organic substrates results in fragmentation, thereby increasing the surface area of action and aeration. Conversely, biochemical changes in the degradation of organic matter are carried out by microorganisms through enzymatic digestion, enrichment by nitrogen excrement and transport of inorganic and organic materials. The earthworms contribute significantly in the recycling of organic waste and production of organic manure with high humic contents, which are helpful in maintenance of soil structure, aeration and fertility [18]. The efficient bioactive substances present in the humic acid fertilizer can enhance physiological metabolism, growth, yield of crops, seed germination etc and these features are not possessed by ordinary fertilizer [19]. Applying humic acid fertilizer can also effectively increase the anti-drought and anti-frigidity potential of crops, and prevent underground plant diseases, insect pests and pathogenic bacteria.

Agricultural waste resources

Animal and municipal garbage changes the soil appeal, including pH, bulk density, conductivity, water stock capacity and increased the proportion of organic carbon content Khaleel, et al. 1981 [20]. Edwards, et al. [21] has evaluated the growth of *Perionyx excavatus* (earthworm) population with different types of organic wastes and observed that the maximum reproduction occurred in cattle and sewage mud at 25 °C. Besides, it was also reported that a similar growth pattern was also obtained while using anaerobic digested sewage waste, and cattle solid waste.

Solid waste administration is not an easy task in the existing scenario, due to enormous growth of population, fast urbanization and lifestyle of the people that make huge quantity of solid produced every day [22,23] conveyed that earthworms such as *Eisenia fetida* were used for solid agricultural and household solid garbage treatment, and it provided an employment for community with recycling of energy. Vermicompost derived from cattle manure was studied for its efficiency using *Petroselinum crispum* Mill, and the result suggested that vermicompost improved the yield, leaves, and the plant height [24].

To address the nutritional needs of the growing population, vermicompost practices in agro industry has been adopted to

manage and process huge bio-solid wastes generated from human habitats. Managing the agro waste using *E. eugenic* resulted in reduction of cadmium toxicity in the soil besides enriching P, K, Na, Ca and nitrogen nutrient contents of the soil [25]. The uncontrolled and mismanagement of municipal solid waste (MSW) from urban area consumed high cost for disposal and this could be managed with economical, ecofriendly and sustainable vermicomposting methods.

Dar and Dar [26] reported that, municipal waste from 17 wards of Ganderbal district affected the environment and caused water borne ailments due to poor waste treatment. Adopting of vermicomposting, complete degradation of wastes was achieved within 35-42 days and the resultant manure was rich in high NPK content besides reducing the manpower to process the raw waste.

Vermicomposting technology

Ramesh, et al. [27] has reported that pollution increased due to organic waste from day to day activities and adopting vermicomposting yielded compost manure with desirable physical, chemical and nutritional properties suitable for horticulture Westerman and Bicudo [28].

Atiyeh, et al. [29] studied the effects of humic acids formed during the breakdown of organic wastes by earthworms (vermicomposting) on plant growth and it was observed that the treatment resulted in productivity of plants such as increase in plant heights, leaf areas, shoot and root dry weights. The plant growth properties of composts were due to hormone-like activity of humic acids from the vermicomposts or could have been due to plant growth hormones adsorbed onto the humates.

Kaviraja Sharma [30] stated that a composting study was performed with two types of earthworms (*Lampito mauritii* and *Eisenia fetida*) for solid garbage treatment. The obtained composts have variations in their nutrient values such as total organic carbon, carbon and nitrogen, Kjeldahl nitrogen, electrical conductivity and total potassium and weight of the solid waste. In this study, *Lampito mauritii* exhibited desirable nutritional properties when compared to the composting process of *Eisenia fetida*.

Organic wastes increased the microbial biomass-carbon content in soil and the microbial BC and BN (Biomass nitrogen) was positively correlated with organic C content [31]. The degradable organic waste and their safe disposal is a big concern all over the world. The sustainable energy in renewing the soil is a challenge by providing sustainable environment and enhancing soil vermicompost to enrich the water stock capacity, nutrient and most importantly in developing plants to exhibit resistance against pests and diseases. Earthworms can facilitate these functions and they serve as “nature’s plowman” and serve as a nature’s gift to yield good humus that is the greatest valuable material to fulfill the nutritional wants of crops [32].

Ansari [33] described that waste treatment is a complicated problem in developing countries and has used grass clippings wastes as renewal energy by utilizing cow fertilizer enriched with *E. fetida*. The initial raw material was 210 kg and the final weight of the compost was 120 kg and the conversion rate of bioenergy was observed to be 57%. Aalok, et al. [34], studied the maintenance of solid litters by using three different earthworms such as *E. eugeniae*, *E. fetida* and *Perionyx excavates* and found that the bulk mass of municipal solid waste volume (MSWV) was reduced to about 65, 55 and 40%, respectively. In this study, it was reported that pH, electrical conductivity, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, and magnesium concentration were increased in the resultant compost manure. Another study conducted by Pattnaik and Reddy [35] indicated that the nutrient values of vermicompost was higher when using *E. eugeniae* than *E. fetida* and *P. excavatus*.

Vermicomposts and characteristics

Earthworm is one of the chief resources for eco-friendly method for the treatment of solid garbage. A greenhouse test was performed with vermicompost from (1) Raw dairy dung with tobacco residue; (2) Yard leaf; (3) Sewage sludge + rice hull; (4) Sewage sludge + yard leaf, and (5) raw dairy dung were estimated in tomato seedling. It was observed that all these methods yielded compost with desirable nutritional properties and bioconversion ratio [36].

Nair, et al. [37] stated that kitchen waste was thermocomposted for 9 days and transformed as vermicompost in 2.5 months. The vermicomposting is a powerful tool for bulk discount of waste as well as pathogen free vermicompost. Vermicomposting is a significant technique of transforming organic garbage into nutrient rich dung through earthworms without reducing the population of useful bacterial flora that facilitated microbial composting.

Though conventional bio-composting methods such as microbial composting and vermicomposting are able to degrade bio-wastes through thermophilic and non-thermophilic mechanisms respectively, individually they suffer several demerits. Though vermicomposting is efficient in decomposing plant wastes as well as contributing desired soil fertility attributes, the process suffers certain demerits such as the inability to degrade the animal wastes effectively, high pathogenic microbial load and long duration process. On the other hand, microbial based thermal composting is effective in rapid decomposition of solid matter by thermal elevation due to intense metabolism of thermophilic microbes resulting in reduction in the pathogenic microbial load to a great extent. However, the microbial composting process has the limitation to produce bio-fertilizer of high humic content due to their reduced efficiency in processing vegetable wastes. A paradigm shift in transforming these bio-wastes to fertile resources with improved primary productivity by synergizing the desired

features of microbial degradation and vermicomposting is feasible. It is reported that the combination of these methods in two-phased manner is expected to reduce the duration of complete decomposition of biosolid matters against the methods when performed separately [38].

Researchers reported that vermicomposting yielded high content of NPK, carbon, nitrogen, beneficial micro-organisms and growth hormones [39]. Pathma and Sakhivel [40] stated that vermicompost is a procedure of non-thermophilic, bio-oxidative procedure with the combined assistance of earthworm and microbes.

Dalal [41] stated that biological waste was transformed as vermicompost wherein the process resulted the reduction of waste volume by 65%.

Lim, et al. [42] stated that vermicomposting is a process, in which earthworms are utilized to transform organic materials into humus-like material named as vermicompost. Vermicompost have high nutrient content than other methods of composting as vermicomposting improves soil fertility physically, chemically and biologically. Vermicompost treated soil has good aeration, bulk density and water stock capacity besides improving agricultural yields due to rich in preferable plant nutrient contents. Though vermicompost helps to enhance the plant growth, high quantity of vermicompost may affect the development of plants due to the presence of soluble salts in vermicompost. The quantity of compost with respect to nutrient contents and pH can be optimized for obtaining better yields when applied on to the fields. Gomez-Brandon and Dominguez [43] described that large scale of solid litters was transformed rapidly as a valuable fertilizer with low price and the rapid transformation and the quality of the composts could be correlated with the gut bacterial flora.

Managing market waste through vermicomposting

Vermicomposting can be suggested as a better method of solid waste treatment, which is one of the major challenges today. Lokeshwari and Swamy [44] conducted a vermicomposting study using municipal, agricultural and mixed solid wastes along with sewage sludge in different proportions such as 0%, 10%, 20% and 30% respectively with the sewage sludge. It was reported that pre-aerobic decomposition for 20 days followed by vermicompost reduced the overall time required for composting (30 days), when compared to windrow composting, which requires about 80 days obtaining the final product. Chemical analysis of the vermicompost samples showed the significant decrease in carbon content and good amount of N, P and K contents in the final composts.

Mane and Smita [45] carried out on the proper utilization of agriculture waste from the market yard through vermicomposting and obtained the nutrient rich organic manure. For composting, the African species of earthworms

i.e. *Eisenia fetida* and *Eudrilus eugeniae* were selected for the study. The crops cultivated using the composts resulted in higher yields than the ones grown with just cow manure.

Kizilkaya, et al. [46] stated that earthworms played a significant role in organic garbage treatment through vermicomposting; the vermibed was equipped in diverse combination by using sewage sludge amended with hazelnut husk and cow dung. After preparation, *E. fetida* was introduced into vermibed and their compost was studied on *Triticum aestivum*; and the compost induced the development of plant when compared to control. Sujatha and Bhat [47] reported the field efficacy using vermicompost over the chemical fertilizers. It was observed that the pH, organic carbon, phosphorus, nitrogen and potassium were increased significantly when compared to chemical fertilizer.

Ansari and Hanief [48] reported that vermicomposting of grass, water hyacinth and a combination of grass with water hyacinth were successful and the final compost yields were about 30%. The final compost material had the beneficial microorganisms such as Actinomycetes, Azotobacter, Nitrobacter, Nitrosomonas and Aspergillus that were responsible for enhanced plant productivity much more than what would be possible from the mere conversion of minerals into more readily available forms of nutrients for the plant's growth.

Fauziah and Agamuthu, [49] reported that the bioremediation of organic garbage into valued product through vermicomposting using *E. fetida*, wherein about 40-50% of waste was transformed as vermicompost. The water stock capacity of vermicompost was 25% (wt) and total organic content was 12%.

Procedure for vermicomposting

A vermibed with the following diverse ratio of agriculture waste and cattle fertilizer was reported by Suthar, [50] (1) Equal weight of (*Pennisetum typhoides* and *Sorghum vulgare*) + sheep manure (1:2 ratio), (2) *Vigna radiata* + *Triticum aestivum* + cow dung (1:1:2 ratio), (3) Mixture of the above plants + cow dung (1:1 ratio), and (4) Cattle shed manure for renewal of energy through vermicompost. All the composts were rich in N (97.3% to 155%), P (67.5% to 123.5%), K (38.3% to 112.9%), and Ca (23.3% to 53.2%), and showed reduction in organic Carbon (20.4% to 29.0%).

Disposal and treatment

Ansari and Sukhraj K [51] stated that organic garbage comprising of grass clippings and water hyacinth were effectively processed by bio dung composting followed by vermicomposting for 60 days duration using *E. fetida*. The method not only destroyed the pathogenic microbes but also reduced the waste by more than 60%.

Gurav and Pathade [52] stated that the temple organic

litters with cow dung and biogas digester slurry were decayed for the duration of 30 days at 30° C. Then, *E. eugeniae* was introduced into the decomposed waste at 25 °C and the moisture content was 80%.

Beohar and Srivastava [53] conducted comparative study between exotic and indigenous epigeic *Eisenia foetida* (exotic) and anaecic species *Lampito mauritii* (indigenous)) respectively. They used two species of earthworms for the evaluation of their efficacy in vermicomposting of poultry waste. Vermicomposting of poultry waste took 90 days of time, resulted in significance difference between the two species in their performance and compost quality in respect to pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon, organic matter, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, Ca, Mg and weight loss of poultry waste.

Shamini and Fauziah [54] investigated the possibility of treating selected organic wastes via vermicomposting using formulated microbial cocktail consisting of *Bacillus weihenstephanensis*, *Bacillus pumilus*, *Pseudomonas alcaligenes*, *Flavobacterium johnsoniae*, *Staphylococcus hominis* and *Bacillus licheniformis*. The microbial consortium enhanced the efficacy of the vermicomposting in terms of degradation of wastes at faster rates. This enabled a reduction of 50% carbon content which was converted to nitrogenous products. This was not achieved when vermicomposting was performed without the aid of microbial consortium.

Subbulakshmi and Thiruneelakandan [55] stated that solid waste was transformed as vermicompost through aerobic conversion and the product had been used for enhancing the soil fertility and also acted as a plant development promoter. The nutrient values of vermicompost (N, P, K, Ca and Mg) were increased when compared to farm yard fertilizer. Lim, et al. [56] stated that soybean husk and papaya garbage were combined in diverse ratio for waste treatment with *E. eugeniae*. Amongst the various ratios, 1:1 ratio was the best mixture for vermicompost. All the nutrient contents such as Ca, K, Mg, and P value were increased while C: N ratio decreased after 63 days.

Mahanta, et al. [57] reported that the effect of rice yield and plant development was evaluated by using vermicompost from rice straw, *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Ipomoea carnea*, and their mixed biomass, were augmented with microbial inoculants of *Azotobacter chroococcum*, *Azospirillum brasilense*, and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Among them, *Ipomoeacarnea* derived vermicompost was higher in nitrogen content and microbial population than the other earthworms. The highest plant development was observed due to *A. chroococcum* followed by enrichment with *A. brasilense*. Nutrient contents in plant and organic C, N, P, and K in post-harvest soil were also significantly enhanced through the application of enriched vermicomposts.

Majlessi, et al. [58] reported that vermicompost was

moderately phytotoxic to cress seeds. The maturity of compost was evaluated by Solvita test and the CO₂ released from microbial activity was suitable for vermicompost.

The improper handling of organic waste creates environmental pollution and this in turn results in the inability in using them as a renewable energy source. Earthworm and housefly maggots can be used for sustainable organic waste management. Both of them are able to transform the organic garbage into valuable materials (vermicompost) [59]. Anastasi, et al. [60] analyzed the microbial diversity of compost (plant debris) and vermicompost (plant and animal garbage with action of earthworm) and found out that the higher numbers of microbes were recorded in vermicompost than the conventional compost and the increment of microbes in vermicompost could be attributed to effective lignin degradation.

Vermicomposting by *E. eugeniae*

Punde and Ganorkar [61] stated that organic waste on exposure to sunlight for 5 - 10 days removed the pathogenic organisms and toxic gases. Decomposition of cotton took 20-25 days whereas for other organic wastes, it was 5-10 days. The following 8 different combinations of pre-digested materials utilized as raw materials for vermicomposting by *E. eugeniae*: Soil + cow dung (0.5:1); Soil + vegetable waste (1:1); Cow dung + vegetable waste (1:1); Cow dung + rice husk (1:1); Food waste + cow dung (4:1); Cloth waste + cow dung (3:10); Sugarcane waste + cow dung (1:1); and Paper + cow dung, and all the treatments were performed in soil bed. Among these processes, vermicompost materials derived by waste combinations 3, 5 and 7 showed higher NPK values at 45 days analysis.

Mujeebunisa, [62] reported that the growth of *E. eugeniae* was evaluated on different vermibeds with mixture of cow manure. Different waste constituents such as leaf litter, vegetables, coffee seeds, and flowers were dried for 5- 6 days. Then, the dried wastes were pre-digested using cow dung with 1:1 ratio and individually maintained for microbial activity up to 21 days. After the earthworms were presented into vermibed, the results showed that vegetable and flower waste with cow dung exhibited significant growth of earthworms.

Eudrilus eugeniae was used for municipal solid litter management wherein, the litter was transformed into vermicompost within 30-32 days and about 60% of volume was reduced in the process. Solid garbage treatment is a large problem in our country; the garbage can be transformed into useful material (vermicompost) by using earthworm for the use as a fertilizer [63]. Kumari [64] stated that the vermicompost process was completed with diverse ratio of (1) Soil + cow dung; (2) Soil+ vegetable waste + fruit waste; (3) Soil + vegetable waste + fruit waste + cow dung; (4) Soil+ paper waste+ cow dung and their composts were examined for TP, TK, TOC, TKN, and C: N parameters. The heat of compost

during the process was observed to be between 21-25 ° C, and TP (0.11-0.25%), TK (0.19-0.55%), TKN% (0.33-0.77%), TOC (8.03-22.3%), pH (5.9-6.1) and C: N (10.78-31.68%) was recorded 0-45 days of composting.

Paper waste comprising rich carbon and low nitrogen content of cow dung was utilized as mixture in diverse ratio 1:1 (paper+ cow dung); 2:1 (paper + cow dung); and 3:1 (paper + cow dung). Among the combinations, 1:1 ratio was best one, and the compost was collected up to 65 days excluding 15 days pre-digestion. All the nutrition parameters such as total nitrogen (%), phosphorus (%) and potassium (%) were decreased whereas the pH and C: N ratios were decreased within the duration of 0-65 days. It was also found that there is an increase in the quantity of worms, cocoons and the body weight of worms. The overall weight of the waste was reduced to more than 50%, and the final weight of compost was 1350 g [65].

Treating bio-degradable wastes

Ravindran, et al. [66], reported that *Eudrilus eugeniae* has been utilized for vermicompost production. The waste of solid state fermented (SSF) and submerged (SmF) state fermented TFL was combined with cow dung and plant material. Microbes play an important role in vermicompost process. The compost has phytohormones, Indole 3-acetic acid, Gibberellic acid, and Kinetin. Maximum quantity of plant hormones exist in vermicompost products due to earthworm and microorganisms. The vermicompost obtained from different ratios of raw materials viz., (1) Mushrooms, media waste, cow manure, and vegetable wastes, (2) Mushrooms media waste, cow manure and leaf litter and (3) Mushrooms, media waste, cow manure, vegetable wastes and leaf litter. All the above vermicomposts produced higher yield of cabbage than inorganic fertilizer [67]. Hussain, et al. [68] reported that vermicomposting was a waste recycling method which increased the N and P levels by microbial action. Different combinations of vegetable wastes, rice straw and cow dung were used as the raw materials for compost production by *E. fetida* and *P. excavatus*. The compost derived from *E. fetida* was shown to have reduced total organic carbon, and increased pH neutrality, and NPK availability, and microbial population. It was also identified two N-fixing strains of *Kluyvera ascorbata* as an effectual bio-fertilizer candidate to accelerate the composting process. Moreover, both N-fixing and P-solubilizing strains of *Serratia* and *Bacillus* were isolated from earthworm gut. All the isolated strains have effectively enhanced the soil health and eased up the crop development via serving as bio-fertilizers.

Our nation (India) alone produces 3000 million tons of degradable waste/year, and the waste comes from domestic sources [69]. Improper management by dumping solid garbage in a region may pollute water and soil. Most of the waste is managed by incineration and composting. The biodegradable waste was transformed as manure (vermicompost) by

earthworm composting for counteracting the ecological as well as for the economic reasons. The solid waste was transformed as vermicompost through using *E. fetida*. The compost rich in nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium improves the soil structure, fertility, and water stock capacity for high yield [70].

Dhimal, et al. [71] described that Zoo wastes were regarded mostly as animal dungs, garbage and litter; and they were transformed as compost through earthworms with minimal time span when compared to conventional composting. The resultant compost had huge microbial content, and optimal organic matter content, moisture content, nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium and (Carbon:Nitrogen) C: N value for agricultural productivity.

Eisenia fetida was utilized for biodegradable solid garbage treatment and it was an eco-friendly waste treatment method producing heat. As he suggested that small scale (individual house) waste treatment was easiest way for solid management and was economically viable. Also the pH of the raw waste was lower than compost. The compost had higher carbon, phosphorus and nitrogen ratio than raw litter [72]. Vermicompost is a sustainable way to manage the livestock excretes. While using earthworm which breaks the dung effectively renders it recyclable as it contains high amount of nutrient and microbial biomass for plant growth. Compost is a significant tool for eco-friendly waste treatment [73].

Kaouachi [74] stated that the olive waste was transformed as vermicompost through *E. andrei*. The gained vermicompost have enhanced NPK, calcium, magnesium, sodium and required EC and C/N ratio. This is eco-friendly method for olive waste treatment.

Sumi, et al. [75] reported that solid garbage treatment was one of the large ecological problems. The residual waste of anthropogenic origin pollutes aquatic and terrestrial ecosystem, if not properly disposed. A quantity of solid litters was categorized as biodegradable and such wastes were altered into ecological safely products through microbial composting, vermicomposting, biogas plant, etc; Vermicompost induced gas germination, high microflora, high NPK content, flowering and fruiting duration of plants than the control (conventional composting). It was suggested that vermicompost was a simple, cost efficient and simple technique of waste treatment with low maintenance.

Benefits of vermicomposting

Kumar, et al. [76] conveyed that few of the Indian cities were addressing the environmental problem due to solid waste through compost methods. The solid waste makes numerous problems, especially in the rainy season, as it blocks the water. In many ways, solid waste could be handled viz., incineration, composting, gasification, refuse derived fuel (RDF). The organic solid was pulverized and transformed into vermicompost and economically the value was 9.36

lakhs / year. Sequeira and Chandrashekar [77] stated that household waste of food, paper, vegetable waste and garden (grass and leaves) were combined with cow dung and alone were utilized for vermibed and *Eudrilus* sp., the compost is composed of helpful microbial community of bacteria, fungi and actinomycetes and Pseudomonads, P- solubilizers and N₂ fixers were increased in vermicompost.

Nidoni and Math [78] described that plant, paper, cow dung and milk sludge were used for raw materials for composting by using *E. eugeniae*. After composting, the materials were analyzed for NPK and others at 30 and 45 days compost. The pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and potash, carbon: nitrogen, copper and zinc were within the limit when compared to standard. It is an important technique to manage the solid garbage into valid materials in eco-friendly manner.

Albasha, et al. [79] stated that kitchen waste with the mixture of cowdung, the predigestion was carried out in 15 days. The best dung was gained in kitchen waste + cowdung (1:1), than Kitchen waste + cowdung (2:1) and Kitchen waste + cowdung (3:1) ratio. The pH value was reduced when duration of compost collection day increased (0-60 day), total nitrogen (%), phosphorus (%), potassium (%) and carbon: nitrogen ratio were increased considerably.

Paul [80] studied different types of waste such as canteen waste, kitchen scraps, non-toxic solids and household garbage's were decayed for a period of 30 days, and after 30 days, cow dung slurry was combined with decamped materials and introduced in *E. fetida*. After few days, the waste dumped material was transformed as compost and were utilized for crop production with income. Mammals produced many tones of manure daily, and improper management caused increase in GHG (Greenhouse gas) emission and environmental pollutions. Nandy, et al. [81] analyzed that the vermicompost for microbial content showed that the compost contained rich organic matter and microbial population.

Sadasivuni, et al. [82] has stated that India has recyclable organic biomass of 0.7 and 0.8 million tons/year. The author specified that potential usage of areca nut and cocoa waste had been used for income with using technology without ecological effects known as vermicompost having greater nutrient than compost which also restored the soil fertility considerably. The vermicompost treated potato plant had higher phenol content compared to that of the control. The treatment reduced the efficacy of transformation of ingested and digested food also affect the carbohydrate and protease of the larvae and pupae [83].

Londhe and Bhosale [84] stated that solid waste was handled by converting it as composting, in an environmental friendly manner without producing any kind of risk to environment. They evaluated T1, soil + cow dung, (0.5:1), T2,

Soil + vegetable + fruit waste (1:1), T3, Soil + agricultural waste + cow dung (1:1) and T4, Soil + paper waste + cow dung (1:1) ratio and showed increased N level. All the compost materials increased the nutrient potash and nitrogen contents.

Nag, et al. [85] stated that organic solid garbage was 5-75% in religious areas of Patna, Bihar; it was managed and transformed as valued materials by *E. fetida* and *E. eugeniae*. The results presented that NPK were increased with increase in the duration. The results also showed an increase in C/N ratio. Carbon% was decreased with increasing duration of composting period.

Amaravathi and Reddy [86] conveyed that municipal solid garbage was transformed as compost by *E. fetida* and for *P. excavatus*. The best environmental conditions were (temperature, moisture, pH, EC) required for vermicomposting. In the early stage, the temperature was high and it was reduced during the process and also the pH was high while cow dung and soil were added for microbial and earthworm growth. Also the author concludes that *P. excavatus* is superior to *E. fetida*.

Baki, et al. [87] informed that 100% of food garbage was reduced within 7 days as vermicompost through *E. eugeniae*; it contains high nutrient content of N, P, and K.

Karmakar, et al. [88] evaluated the result of vermicompost alone, chemical fertilizer and 50 manure +50 fertilizer and control on rice field. They found that vermicompost also showed good growth and provided maximum nutrient to tested plants.

Jain [89] Jain V, et al. [89] observed that organic solid management was a major problem of many cities in developing countries. Enormous quantity of waste with poor maintenance was known as environmental contamination. In India most of pilgrims, produced enormous quantity of waste during the festival. The flower garbage was huge in some of the main regions in Jaipur and Rajasthan temples. The flower garbage was transformed as vermicompost with combination of cattle dung and using *E. fetida*. The garbage was transformed as vermicompost by more than 50%. The compost was at 25°C, 8.0 pH, 1-2mm particle size, moisture 60% and the bulk density were at acceptable limit. Also compost condensed the EC, C: N ratio, C: P ratio and increased in N, P.K, Ca, Mg, and sulphur. The vermicompost utilized as manure for tomato plant cultivation improved the growth parameters such as stem diameter, height, leaf number, length of roots, yield/plant.

Vermicomposting of organic wastes and its usefulness

The Samanea saman (rain tree) is native to northern South America and the leaves contain 22-27% crude proteins. In some Asian countries, rain tree is grown as a green fodder supplement for goats, sheep and cattle. A 5 year old tree can

produce 550 kg of green forage. Rain tree is easily identified through its characteristic umbrella-shaped canopy [90]. When grown in open, the tree typically achieves 15-25 m in height with a canopy diameter broader than the tree. Rain tree is regarded as a shade tree for avenue planting along streets, in parks, public grounds, and other spacious areas. It is too large for home gardens in city areas but is suitable for smallholdings in rural areas with acceptable space for the trees to develop their impressive crowns. Trees also yield multiple products—edible pods, leaf litter for mulching and composting as well as additional livestock feed, nectar for honey bees, wood for crafts and construction—that can be used in rural economies. Leaves are interchangeably organized along twigs and have a noticeable swelling (pulvinus) at petiole base; stipules exist and threadlike; the leaf blades are twice-even-pinnately compound, organized in 2-6 pairs of pinnae, each pinna bearing 6-16 diamond shaped leaflets, shiny green above, dull and finely hairy beneath, 2-4 cm long and 1-2 cm wide, the apical leaflets largest. During dry periods, trees are semi-deciduous, dropping their leaves for a little period which causes enormous quantity of waste to the atmosphere. These kinds of wastes are also handled through the vermicomposting method.

Vermicomposting is also used for treating the animal wastes. The quantities of minerals differ in treatment groups, and this can contribute to variations in growth and multiplication rate of earthworms in the diverse animal manures, which result in a differential pattern of uptake of the nutrient for their body synthesis and subsequent release of the remaining minerals in a mineralized form. The carbon content of cattle manure decreased during vermicomposting indicating a higher mineralization of organic matter. However, the N content of cattle dung has been augmented in process of vermicomposting. This shows that the augmented microbial activity continues outside the gut in the casts and results in an increased mineralization rate of organic N and consequent further increase in concentration of nitrogen substances [91]. The vermicompost from olive cake reduced the environmental effect of amended soil [92]. In the present scenario, waste treatment is an important task worldwide due to management cost and environmental pollution. Lack of organic content in agro ecosystem, necessary to re-use the organic energy for enhancing soil to strong cultivation with minimizing the fertilizer, water, for that cow manure, pomegranate meal + sawdust, cow dung + potato waste pomegranate + potato waste, Cow manure + pomegranate meal, and cow manure+ pomegranate meal+potato waste for preparing vermibed for vermicompost among the combination with cow manure with pomegranate meal + saw dust and potato waste. The pomegranate meal enhanced the conductivity of soil and organic content and also soil pollution was reduced [93].

With the increasing population, there is a need for fertile lands to cultivate many plants for agriculture. *Mentha arvensis* plants were cultivated in salt stressed situations in controlled

and field conditions. The fungi *Glomus aggregatum* and *Exiguobacterium oxidotolerans* with vermicompost enhanced plant growth. The study also concluded that multimicrobial inoculations together with vermicompost as effectual bio-fertilizers for *M. arvensis* cultivation [94]. Xu, et al. [95] stated that vermicompost had difficult effects on the antioxidant enzyme actions of plants grown in high salinity and the composts enhance the salinity stress of the plant. The organic waste of industrial sewage sludge and municipal solid waste compost were combined with cultivated soil. Both treatments amplified the organic matter, microbes, but decreased water holding capacity in industrial waste [96].

Aali, et al. [97] conveyed that indiscriminate use of synthetic chemicals directs to numerous problems in our ecosystem and also affects the non-target organism. For agricultural industry garbage like sheep manure, pomegranate peels, spent mushroom compost either singly or double, triple or fourfold chopped corn, sugar beet pulp and sawdust were utilized as raw substantial for vermibed. The gained compost condensed the electrical conductivity by raising the pH and NPK levels of treated land. It also acts as a fertilizer.

Masullo [98] reported that waste materials were processed by anaerobic condition initially followed by transformation by vermicomposting. While vermicompost is applied in the field, it reduced the irrigation frequency, eliminated the requirement of chemical fertilizer and induced the plant growth. The vermicompost from sewage sludge, wood chips and the mixture with biochar induced the higher reproductive rate (cocoon, juveniles) and reduced the Zn and Cd contents [99]. Maji, et al. [100] reported that humic acid rich vermicompost induced the plant height, fresh weight, and dry weight with increase in the density of beneficial soil micro flora.

Wang, et al. [101] evaluated digestion of chicken fertilizer and kitchen waste by acid digestion and buffering (4 volatile fatty acids) with vermicompost biochar and vermicompost. It was found that chicken fertilizer and kitchen waste were digested with the assistance of vermicompost and vermicompost biochar. Acid-buffering of biochar played an important role on enhancing the anaerobic digestion. Overall, the vermicompost biochar played a key role in digestion of chicken fertilizer and kitchen waste.

Vermicompost and beneficial microflora

The vermicompost, an end product of the vermicomposting process is rich in microbial content. The total microfloral population however is less in vermicompost than the substrate from which it is obtained. The substrate or wastes generally contain some pathogenic microbes and during vermicomposting procedure, earthworms neutralize these harmful microbes. Earthworms stabilize organic remaining's and decrease pathogenic bacteria and other human pathogens [102]. [103] reported a 90% elimination of fecal coliforms and 100% elimination of helminths from sewage mud and

water hyacinth after vermicomposting. The part of microbes by earthworms in decay of organic matter and particularly in humification process is well known [104]. Earthworms play a significant role in soil biology by serving as natural bioreactor to harness the helpful soil microflora and abolish the soil pathogens. Microorganisms normally flourish in earthworm casts and it has been suggested that they especially fungi, establish a nutrient pool for earthworms [105]. Suthar [106] reported that worm cast of *L. mauritii* and *E. eugeniae* polyculture showed significantly amplified the microbial action than *L. mauritii* monoculture.

Summary

This review updates the various researches made in vermicomposting mediated solid waste management. The techniques and processes used by the different vermicompost procedures are reviewed and presented. Also, various bio-degradable and other bio-solid waste treatment methods are described that have relevance on the research presented in the thesis.

References

1. Prasad R, Ruggieri M. Applied satellite navigation using GPS, GALILEO, and augmentation systems. Norwood (MA): Artech House; 2005. Available from: <https://us.artechhouse.com/Applied-Satellite-Navigation-Using-GPS-GALILEO-and-Augmentation-Systems-P892.aspx>
2. Sharma P. An overview of the field of family business studies: Current status and directions for the future. *Fam Bus Rev.* 2004;17(1):1–36. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-6248.2004.00001.x>
3. Tripathi BD, Govil SR. Water pollution: an experimental approach. New Delhi: CBS Publishers & Distributors; 2001;132. Available from: [http://library.nits.ac.in/cgi-bin/koha/opac-detail.pl?biblionumber=15208&query_desc=su%3A%22Pollution.%22%20and%20\(\(%20\(allrecords%2CAlwaysMatches%3D%27%27\)%20and%20\(not-onloan-count%2Cst-numeric%20%3E%3D%201\)%20and%20\(lost%2Cst-numeric%3D0\)%20\)\)](http://library.nits.ac.in/cgi-bin/koha/opac-detail.pl?biblionumber=15208&query_desc=su%3A%22Pollution.%22%20and%20((%20(allrecords%2CAlwaysMatches%3D%27%27)%20and%20(not-onloan-count%2Cst-numeric%20%3E%3D%201)%20and%20(lost%2Cst-numeric%3D0)%20)))
4. Rao SC, Northup BK, Phillips WA, Mayeux HS. Interseeding novel cool-season annual legumes to improve bermudagrass paddocks. *Crop Sci.* 2007;47(1):168–73. Available from: <https://www.feedipedia.org/node/19313>
5. Sharholi M, Ahmad K, Mahmood G, Trivedi RC. Municipal solid waste management in Indian cities – A review. *Waste Manag.* 2008;28(2):459–67. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2007.02.008>
6. Edwards CA, Bater JE. The use of earthworms in environmental management. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 1992;24:1683–9. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(92\)90170-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(92)90170-3)
7. Khan SN. Qualitative research method—Phenomenology. *Asian Soc Sci.* 2014;10(21):298–310. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v10n21p298>
8. Tatsi AA, Zouboulis AI. A field investigation of the quantity and quality of leachate from a municipal solid waste landfill in a Mediterranean climate (Thessaloniki, Greece). *Adv Environ Res.* 2002;6:207–19. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1093-0191\(01\)00052-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1093-0191(01)00052-1)
9. Butler AA, Marks DL, Fan W, Kuhn CM, Bartolome M, Cone RD. Melanocortin-4 receptor is required for acute homeostatic responses to increased dietary fat. *Nat Neurosci.* 2001;4(6):605–11. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1038/88423>
10. Senesi N, Plaza C. Role of humification processes in recycling organic wastes of various nature and sources as soil amendments. *Clean Soil Air Water.* 2007;35(1):26–41. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/clen.200600018>

11. Insam H, de Bertoldi M. Microbiology of the composting process. In: Diaz LF, de Bertoldi M, Bidlingmaier W, Stentford E, editors. *Compost Science and Technology. Waste Management Series. Vol. 8.* Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2007;25–48. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1478-7482\(07\)80006-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1478-7482(07)80006-6)
12. Nicholson N. How hardwired is human behavior? *Behav Sci.* 1998. Available from: <https://hbr.org/1998/07/how-hardwired-is-human-behavior>
13. Tuomela M, Vikman M, Hatakka A, Itävaara M. Biodegradation of lignin in a compost environment: a review. *Bioresour Technol.* 2000;72(2):169–83. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(99\)00104-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(99)00104-2)
14. Logsdon MC, McBride AB, Birkimer JC. Social support and postpartum depression. *Res Nurs Health.* 1994;17(6):449–57. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.4770170608>
15. Edwards CA, Burrows I. The potential of earthworm composts as plant growth media. In: Edward CA, Neuhauser EF, editors. *Earthworms in Waste and Environmental Management.* The Hague: SPB Academic Publishing; 1988;21–32. Available from: <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=52179>
16. Edwards CA, Lofty JR. *Biology of earthworms.* 1st ed. 1972. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-4899-6912-5>
17. Ndegwa PM, Thompson SA. Integrating composting and vermicomposting in the treatment and bioconversion of biosolids. *Bioresour Technol.* 2001;76(2):107–12. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(00\)00104-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(00)00104-8)
18. Hand P. Earthworm biotechnology (vermicomposting). In: Greenshields R, editor. *Resources and Applications of Biotechnology.* London: Palgrave Macmillan; 1988;49–58. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-349-09574-2_5
19. Ismail A. New advances in the diagnosis of typhoid and detection of typhoid carriers. *Malays J Med Sci.* 2000;7:3–8. Available from: <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3448990>
20. Khaleel R, Reddy KR, Overcash MR. Changes in soil physical properties due to organic waste applications: a review. *J Environ Qual.* 1981;10(2):133–41. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq1981.00472425001000020002x>
21. Edwards AC, van Barneveld RJ. Lupins for livestock and fish. In: Gladstones JS, Atkins C, Hamblin J, editors. *Lupins as crop plants: biology, production and utilization.* Wallingford: CAB International; 1998;385–410. Available from: <https://www.feedipedia.org/node/23622>
22. Garg AX, Hackam D, Tonelli M. Systematic review and meta-analysis: when one study is just not enough. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2008;3(1):253–60. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2215/cjn.01430307>
23. Bhargava A. Globalization, literacy levels, and economic development. WIDER Working Paper Series WP2008-04. World Institute for Development Economic Research (UNU-WIDER); 2008. Available from: <https://www.wider.unu.edu/sites/default/files/rp2008-04.pdf>
24. Peyvast G, Olfati JA, Madeni S, Forghani A. Vermicompost as a soil supplement to improve growth and yield of parsley. *Int J Veg Sci.* 2008;14(1):82–92. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/249051225_Vermicompost_as_a_Soil_Supplement_to_Improve_Growth_and_Yield_of_Parsley
25. Ngam S. Vermicomposting has been shown to reduce heavy metal bioavailability—especially cadmium and enhance soil pH and nutrient content. In: *Agricultural Solid Waste and its Management: An Overview.* 2010. Available from: <https://iserd.net/ijerd12/12038.pdf>
26. Dar AQ, Dar UB. Viability of vermicomposting for solid waste management in Ganderbal Town. *Int J Eng Res Technol.* 2013;2(10):956–61. Available from: <https://www.ijert.org/viability-of-vermicomposting-for-solid-waste-management-in-ganderbal-town>
27. Ramesh P, Singh M, Rao AS. Organic farming: its relevance to the Indian context. *Curr Sci.* 2005;88(4):561–8. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228613838_Organic_farming_its_relevance_to_the_Indian_context
28. Westerman PW, Bicudo JR. Management considerations for organic waste use in agriculture. *Bioresour Technol.* 2005;96(2):215–21. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2004.05.011>
29. Atiyeh RM, Lee S, Edwards CA, Arancon NQ, Metzger JD. The influence of humic acids derived from earthworm-processed organic wastes on plant growth. *Bioresour Technol.* 2002;84(1):7–14. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(02\)00017-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(02)00017-2)
30. Kaviraj, Sharma S. Municipal solid waste management through vermicomposting employing exotic and local species of earthworms. *Bioresour Technol.* 2003;90(2):169–73. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(03\)00123-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(03)00123-8)
31. Jedidi J, Jacquemin F, Vautrin A. Identification of 3D moisture diffusion coefficients for carbon/epoxy laminates. 2004. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280894322_Identification_of_3D_Moisture_Diffusion_Coefficients_for_CarbonEpoxy_Laminates
32. Nagavallema KP, Wani SP, Lacroix S, Padmaja VV. Vermicomposting: Recycling wastes into valuable organic fertilizer. *Global Theme on Agroecosystems Report No. 8.* Patancheru: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi Arid Tropics; 2004. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277064029_Vermicomposting_Recycling_Wastes_into_Valuable_Organic_FertilizerGlobal_Theme_on_Agroecosystems_Report_no_8
33. Mohammad-Khah A, Ansari R. Activated charcoal: preparation, characterization and applications: a review article. *Int J ChemTech Res.* 2009;1:859–69. Available from: <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2351116>
34. Aalok, Soni P, Tripathi AK. Role of earthworms in breakdown of different organic wastes into manure: a review. 2009. Available from: <https://scispace.com/pdf/role-of-earthworms-in-breakdown-of-different-organic-wastes-7lpw7wfxnk.pdf>
35. Pattnaik S, Reddy MV. Heavy metals remediation from urban wastes using three species of earthworm (*Eudrilus eugeniae*, *Eisenia fetida* and *Perionyx excavatus*). *J Environ Chem Ecotoxicol.* 2011;3(14):345–56. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5897/JECE11.036>
36. Hashemimajis S. In: Prasad R, Ruggieri M, editors. *Applied satellite navigation using GPS, GALILEO, and augmentation systems.* Norwood (MA): Artech House; 2005.
37. Nair PNR. On the causes of persistent apical periodontitis: a review. *Int Endod J.* 2006;39(4):249–81. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2006.01099.x>
38. Ndegwa PM, Thompson SA. Integrating composting and vermicomposting in the treatment and bioconversion of biosolids. *Bioresour Technol.* 2001;76(2):107–12. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(00\)00104-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(00)00104-8)
39. Adhikary S. Vermicompost, the story of organic gold: a review. *Agric Sci.* 2012;3(7):967–75. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4236/as.2012.37110>
40. Pathma J, Sakthivel N. Microbial diversity of vermicompost bacteria that exhibit useful agricultural traits and waste management potential. *Springerplus.* 2012;1:26. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-1-26>
41. Dalal P. Municipal solid waste management by vermicomposting. *Int J Sci Nat.* 2012;3(4):883–5.
42. Lim WM, Yong JL, Suryadi K. Consumers' perceived value and willingness to purchase organic food. *J Glob Mark.* 2014;27(5):298–307. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08911762.2014.931501>
43. Gómez-Brandón M, Domínguez J. Recycling of solid organic wastes through vermicomposting: microbial community changes throughout the process and use of vermicompost as a soil amendment. *Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol.* 2014;44(12):1289–1312. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2013.763588>
44. Lokeshwari M, Nanjunda Swamy CN. Vermicomposting of municipal

- and agricultural solid waste with sewage sludge. *J Environ Res Dev*. 2008;3(1):51–61. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317904964_VERMICOMPOSTING_OF_MUNICIPAL_AND_AGRICULTURAL_SOLID_WASTE_WITH_SEWAGE_SLUDGE
45. Mane A, Kulkarni S, Ghate M, Risbud A, Thakar M. HIV-1 RNA shedding in the female genital tract is associated with reduced quantity of Lactobacilli in clinically asymptomatic HIV-positive women. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2013;75(1):112–4. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2012.09.009>
 46. Kizilkaya HS, Sørensen KV, Kibsgaard CJ, Gasbjerg LS, Hauser AS, Sparre-Ulrich AH, et al. Loss of function glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide receptor variants are associated with alterations in BMI, bone strength and cardiovascular outcomes. *Front Cell Dev Biol*. 2021;9:749607. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.749607>
 47. Sujatha S, Bhat R. Impacts of vermicompost and nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium application on soil fertility status in arecanut grown on a laterite soil. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal*. 2012;43(18):2400–12. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/254326264>
 48. Ansari A, Hanief A. Microbial degradation of organic waste through vermicomposting. *Int J Sustain Agric Res*. 2015;2(2):45–54. Available from: <https://ideas.repec.org/a/pkp/ijosar/v2y2015i2p45-54id226.html>
 49. Fauziah SH, Agamuthu P. Trends in sustainable landfilling in Malaysia, a developing country. *Waste Manag Res*. 2012;30(7):656–63. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/223135864>
 50. Suthar S. Vermicomposting of vegetable-market solid waste using *Eisenia fetida*: impact of bulking material on earthworm growth and decomposition rate. *Ecol Eng*. 2009;35:914–20. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2008.12.019>
 51. Ansari AA, Sukhraj K. Effect of vermiwash and vermicompost on soil parameters and productivity of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) in Guyana. *Afr J Agric Res*. 2010;5(14):1794–8. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/268298801>
 52. Gurav MV, Pathade GR. Production of vermicompost from temple waste (Nirmalya): a case study. *Univ J Environ Res Technol*. 2011;1182–92. Available from: <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3780815>
 53. Beohar PA, Srivastava RK. Poultry waste management through vermicomposting employing exotic and indigenous species of earthworms. *J Soil Sci*. 2011;1(1):4–11. Available from: <http://www.bioinfo.in/contents.php?id=81>
 54. Shamini K, Fauziah SH, Emenike CU. Vermicomposting of spent tea: a sustainable approach for solid waste management. In: *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology (CEST2011)*; 2011 Sep 8–10; Rhodes, Greece. p. A1695–A1700. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265511405>
 55. Subbulakshmi G, Thiruneelakandan R. Vermicomposting is valiant in vandalizing the waste material. *Int J Plant Anim Environ Sci*. 2011;1(3):4–11. Available from: <http://www.bioinfo.in/contents.php?id=81>
 56. Lim EL, Hollingsworth KG, Aribisala BS, Chen MJ, Mathers JC, Taylor R. Reversal of type 2 diabetes: normalisation of beta cell function in association with decreased pancreas and liver triacylglycerol. *Diabetologia*. 2011;54(10):2506–14. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-011-2204-7>
 57. Mahanta R, Sarma D, Choudhury A. Heavy rainfall occurrences in northeast India. *Int J Climatol*. 2013;33(6):1456–69. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258790513>
 58. Majlessi M, Eslami A, Najafi Saleh H, Mehrabi Y, Mohammadi H, Mirshafiean S, et al. Vermicomposting of food waste: assessing the stability and maturity. *Iran J Environ Health Sci Eng*. 2012;9(1):25. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235390500>
 59. Zhang Y, Pak C, Han Y, Ahlenius H, Zhang Z, Chanda S, et al. Rapid single-step induction of functional neurons from human pluripotent stem cells. *Neuron*. 2013;78(5):785–98. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.05.029>
 60. Anastasia A, Deinhardt K, Chao MV, Will NE, Irmady K, Lee FS, et al. Val66Met polymorphism of BDNF alters prodomain structure to induce neuronal growth cone retraction. *Nat Commun*. 2013;4:2490. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3490>
 61. Punde BD, Ganorkar RA. Vermicomposting—recycling waste into valuable organic fertilizer. *Int J Eng Res Appl*. 2012;2(3):2342–7. Available from: <https://scholar.google.co.in/citations?user=RZO5ml8AAAAJ&hl=en>
 62. Mujeebunisa M, Divya V, Aruna D. Effect of leaf litter, vegetable waste, coffee waste, flower waste and may flower waste added vermicompost on weight gain in *Eudrilus eugeniae*. *Asian J Plant Sci Res*. 2013;3(6):1–4.
 63. Mehta N, Karnwal A. Vermicomposting: Solid waste management and crop improvement. Saarbrücken, Germany: LAP Lambert Academic Publishing GmbH & Co. KG; 2012. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233405761>
 64. Kumari N. Impact of technological change on employee performance. *ZENITH Int J Multidiscip Res*. 2013;3:74–86. Available from: <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3082015>
 65. Basheer M, Agrawal OP. Management of paper waste by vermicomposting using epigeic earthworm, *Eudrilus eugeniae*, in Gwalior, India. *Int Curr Microbiol Appl Sci*. 2013;2(4):42–7. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263176147>
 66. Ravindran AV, Balneaves LG, Faulkner G, Ortiz A, McIntosh D, Morehouse RL, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) 2016 Clinical Guidelines for the Management of Adults with Major Depressive Disorder. Section 5. Complementary and alternative medicine treatments. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2016;61(9):576–87. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0706743716660290>
 67. Nurhidayati N, Ali U, Murwani I. Yield and quality of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. Capitata) under organic growing media using vermicompost and earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus* inoculation. *Agric Agric Sci Procedia*. 2016;11:5–13. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312423030>
 68. Hussain ST, Lei S, Akram T, Haider MJ, Hussain SH, Ali M. Kurt Lewin's change model: a critical review of the role of leadership and employee involvement in organizational change. *J Innov Knowl*. 2016;3:123–7. Available from: <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2454388>
 69. Mehta PH, van Son V, Welker KM, Prasad S, Sanfey AG, Smidts A, et al. Exogenous testosterone in women enhances and inhibits competitive decision-making depending on victory–defeat experience and trait dominance. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2015;60:224–36. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psypneuen.2015.07.004>
 70. Parekh J, Mehta N. Jankee Parekh and Nakuul Mehta at SAB Ke Anokhe Awards 2015. *India Times*. 2015; Available from: <https://photogallery.indiatimes.com/awards/awards-and-honours/sab-ke-anokhe-awards-2015/nakuul-mehta-and-his-wife-janki-during-the-sab-ke-anokhe-awards-2015/articleshow/48014453.cms>
 71. Dhimal M, Kramer IM, Phuyal P, Budhathoki SS, Hartke J, Ahrens B, et al. Climate change and its association with the expansion of vectors and vector-borne diseases in the Hindu Kush Himalayan region: a systematic synthesis of the literature. *Adv Clim Change Res*. 2021;12(3):421–9. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1674927821000757>
 72. Pirsaeheb M, Hossini H, Makhdomi P. Review of microplastic occurrence and toxicological effects in marine environment: experimental evidence of inflammation. *Process Saf Environ Prot*. 2020;142:1–14. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2020.05.050>
 73. Nasiru A, Ismail N, Ibrahim MH. Vermicomposting: tool for sustainable ruminant manure management. *J Waste Manag*. 2013;2013:732759. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/732759>
 74. Kaouachi N, Seridi M, Boualleg C, Djebbari N, Bensouilah M. Analyse de la richesse spécifique des Monogènes parasites des poissons de l'est du littoral algérien. *Bull Soc Zool Fr*. 2013;138(1):127–38. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/269988501_ZOO138

75. Sumi CD, Yang BW, Yeo IC, Hahm YT. Antimicrobial peptides of the genus *Bacillus*: a new era for antibiotics. *Can J Microbiol*. 2015;61(2):93–103. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjm-2014-0613>
76. Kumar A, Flewitt AJ, Kumar et al – JDT-01885-2015. 2015. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280986558_Kumar_et_al_JDT-01885-2015
77. Sequeira V, Chandrashekar JS. Vermicomposting of biodegradable municipal solid waste using indigenous *Eudrilus* sp. earthworms. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci*. 2015;4(4):356–65. Available from: <https://www.ijcmas.com/vol-4-4/Vincent%20Sequeira%20and%20J.S.%20Chandrashekar.pdf>
78. Nidoni S, Math KK. Vermicomposting of different organic wastes using *Eudrilus eugeniae*. *Int J Recycl Org Waste Agricult*. 2015;4(1):57–65.
79. Albasha N, Ahern L, O'Mahony L, McCullagh R, Cornally N, McHugh S, et al. Implementation strategies to support fall prevention interventions in long-term care facilities for older persons: a systematic review. *BMC Geriatr*. 2023;23(1):47. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-023-03738-z>
80. Paul BG, Bagby SC, Czornyj E, Arambula D, Handa S, Sczyrba A, et al. Targeted diversity generation by intraterrestrial archaea and archaeal viruses. *Nat Commun*. 2015;6:585. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/274638013_Paul_et_al-2015-Nature-Communications-2
81. Nandy B, Sharma G, Garg S, Kumari S, George T, Yengkhom S, Sinha B. Recovery of consumer waste in India – a mass flow analysis for paper, plastic and glass and the contribution of households and the informal sector. *Resour Conserv Recycl*. 2015;101:167–81. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/279460453>
82. Sadasivuni KK, Ponnammam D, Kim J, Thomas S, editors. Graphene-based polymer nanocomposites in electronics. Cham: Springer; 2015. (Springer Series on Polymer and Composite Materials). Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/268209371>
83. Mardani Talaei M, Zibaei A, Nouri Ganbalani G, Rahimi V, Tajmimi P. Effect of vermicompost on nutrition and intermediary metabolism of Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Arch Phytopathol Plant Prot*. 2015;48(8):623–45. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282974489>
84. Londhe PB, Bhosale SM. Recycling of solid wastes into organic fertilizers using low cost treatment: vermicomposting. *Int J Innov Eng Res Technol*. 2015;2(6):1–11. Available from: <https://repo.ijert.org/index.php/ijert/article/view/482>
85. Nag S, Yu L, Capuano AW, Wilson RS, Leurgans SE, Bennett DA, Schneider JA. Hippocampal sclerosis and TDP-43 pathology in aging and Alzheimer disease. *Ann Neurol*. 2015;77(6):942–52. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.24388>
86. Amaravathi P, Reddy MS. Vermicomposting of municipal solid waste using *Eisenia fetida* and *Perionyx excavatus*. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 2015;22(21):17109–17.
87. Baki G, Alexander KS. Introduction to cosmetic formulation and technology. Hoboken (NJ): John Wiley & Sons; 2015. Available from: https://books.google.co.in/books/about/Introduction_to_Cosmetic_Formulation_and.html?id=tM_OBgAAQBAJ
88. Karmakar S, Harcourt EM, Hewings DS, Scherer F, Lovejoy AF, Kurtz DM, et al. Organocatalytic removal of formaldehyde adducts from RNA and DNA bases. *Nat Chem*. 2015;7(9):752–8. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2307>
89. Jain V, Dubey A, Gupta A, Sharma S. Comparative analysis of machine learning algorithms in OCR. In: 3rd International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom); New Delhi, India; 2016;1089–92. Available from: <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2143679>
90. Staples MP, Elwood M, Burton RC, Williams JL, Marks R, Giles GG. Non-melanoma skin cancer in Australia: the 2002 national survey and trends since 1985. *Med J Aust*. 2006;184(1):6–10. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.2006.tb00086.x>
91. Fragoso C, Lavelle P. Earthworm communities of tropical rain forests. *Soil Biol Biochem*. 1992;24(12):1397–408. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717\(92\)90124-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(92)90124-G)
92. Lores M, Gómez-Brandón M, Pérez-Díaz D, Domínguez J. Using FAME profiles for the characterization of animal wastes and vermicomposts. *Soil Biol Biochem*. 2006;38(12):2993–6.
93. Karakagh RM, Chorom M, Motamedi H, Kalkhajeh YK, Oustan S. Biosorption of Cd and Ni by resistant bacteria isolated from agricultural soil treated with sewage sludge. *Ecohydrol Hydrobiol*. 2012;12(3):191–8. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1642-3593\(12\)70203-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1642-3593(12)70203-3)
94. Bharti H, Guénard B, Bharti M, Economio EP. An updated checklist of the ants of India with their specific distributions in Indian states (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). *ZooKeys*. 2016;551:1–83. Available from: <https://antcat.org/references/142873>
95. Xu W, Rutledge SA. Xu et al – 2016 – Geophysical Research Letters. *Geophys Res Lett*. 2016;43(7). Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/299730770>
96. Zamani M, Nazari S, Moshizi SA, Maghrebi MJ. Three dimensional simulation of J-shaped Darrieus vertical axis wind turbine. *Energy*. 2016;116(Pt 1):1243–55. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.10.031>
97. Ali W, Zehra A, Ali Z, Naqvi B. Effect of salinization and alkalization on seed germination and early seedling growth of leguminous species *Vigna mungo* L. *Plant Sci J*. 2017;6(1):1–11. Available from: [https://www.isisn.org/PSJ%206\(1\)2017/01-11-6\(1\)2017PSJ-602.pdf](https://www.isisn.org/PSJ%206(1)2017/01-11-6(1)2017PSJ-602.pdf)
98. Masullo A, Theunissen R. On the applicability of numerical image mapping for PIV image analysis near curved interfaces. *Meas Sci Technol*. 2017;28(7):075301. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6501/aa6c8f>
99. Malińska K, Golańska M, Caceres R, Rorat A, Weisser P, Ślęzak E. Biochar amendment for integrated composting and vermicomposting of sewage sludge – The effect of biochar on the activity of *Eisenia fetida* and the obtained vermicompost. *Bioresour Technol*. 2017;225:206–14. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.11.049>
100. Maji S, Ahmed S, Siddiqui WA, Ghosh S. Short term effects of criteria air pollutants on daily mortality in Delhi, India. *Environ Pollut*. 2017;150:210–9. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.11.044>
101. Wang L, Li H, Zhao C, Li S, Kong L, Wu W, et al. The inhibition of protein translation mediated by AtGCN1 is essential for cold tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Environ*. 2017;40(1):56–68. Available from: <https://www.yeastgenome.org/reference/S000184819>
102. Sinha RK, Agarwal S, Chauhan K, Chandran V, Soni BK. Vermistabilization of sewage sludge (biosolids) by earthworms: converting a potential biohazard destined for landfill disposal into a pathogen free, nutritive and safe bio-fertilizer for farms. *J Waste Manag Res*. 2010; Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4236/ti.2010.13019>
103. Cardoso LV, Ramírez C. Vermicomposting of sewage sludge: a new technology for Mexico. Morelos (Mexico): Mexican Institute of Water Technology; 2002. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net>
104. Edwards CA, Bohlen PJ. Biology and ecology of earthworms. 3rd ed. London: Chapman & Hall; 1996. Available from: <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=1922388>
105. Edwards CA, Burrows I. The potential of earthworms composts as plant growth media. In: Edward CA, Neuhauser EF, editors. *Earthworms in Waste and Environmental Management*. The Hague: SPB Academic Publishing; 1988;2132. Available from: <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=52179>
106. Suthar S, Singh S. Vermicomposting of domestic waste by using two epigeic earthworms (*Perionyx excavatus* and *Perionyx sansibaricus*). *Int J Environ Sci Technol*. 2008;5(1):99–106. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/43562985>